

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/650,551	08/30/2000	David J. McElroy	303.615US1	1374	
75	90 11/25/2002				
Schwegman Lundberg Woessner & Kluth P.A. Eduardo E Drake PO Box 2938			EXAMINER		
			LUU, THANH X		
Minneapolis, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2878		
			DATE MAILED: 11/25/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
Advisory Action	09/650,551	MCELROY ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thanh X Luu	2878	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover she t with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of this application applicati	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the status of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three molearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE terms on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b			
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does N	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or t rould be rejected is provided bel	b)  will be entered low or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>13-21</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-12 and 28-31</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 27.			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exar	miner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	A	
10. Other:		<u>10</u> .	
		Qua 1 Primary E	
000000000000000000000000000000000000000			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: At least with respect to claim 16, Applicant proposes to change the scop of the claim, thereby, r quiring further consideration and/or search. In addition, Applicant's arguments are found not to be persuasive. Thus, this Advisory Action is proper.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY